Electronically Received by Superior Court of California, County of Orange, 10/12/2021 03:51:49 PM. 30-2019-0106 \$63-CU-OE-CXC - ROA # 134 - DAVID H. YAMASAKI, Clerk of the Court By ocuser ocuser, Deputy Clerk. 31073308 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER ZORIK MOORADIAN, Bar No. 136636 zorik@mooradianlaw.com OCT 26 2021 HAIK HACOPIAN, Bar No. 282361 haik@mooradianlaw.com DAVID H. YAMASAKI, Clerk of the Court MOORADIAN LAW, APC 24007 Ventura Blvd., Suite 210 Calabasas, CA 91302

> Attorneys for Plaintiff Martina E. Gonzalez, individually and on behalf of other persons similarly situated and similarly aggrieved employees

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE

MARTINA E. GONZALEZ, individually and on behalf of other persons similarly situated and similarly aggrieved employees,

Plaintiffs,

Telephone: (818) 487-1998 Facsimile: (888) 783-1030

٧.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

FMS MANAGEMENT, an active California Corporation; and DOES 1 through 10,

Defendants.

Case No.: 30-2019-01065563-CU-OE-CXC

CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION

[Assigned to Hon. James J. Di Cesare in C16]

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

Date:

October 1, 2021

Time:

10:00 a.m.

Dept.: C16

22 23

24

25

26

On October 1, 2021, the Court considered the motion of Plaintiff Martina E. Gonazlez for preliminary approval of the Parties' proposed class action Settlement.

The Court having read and considered the papers on the motion submitted by Class Counsel, having heard the presentation of Class Counsel and Defendant's counsel, having reviewed all of the submissions presented with respect to the proposed Settlement, having carefully considered the requirements for class certification, and having preliminarily determined that the Settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable, it is hereby ORDERED ADJUDGED, and DECREED that:

- 1. Preliminary Approval of the Class Action Settlement is GRANTED pursuant to Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.769;
- 2. The Settlement, as set forth in the Amended Joint Stipulation of Class Action and PAGA Settlement and Release ("Settlement Agreement"), and which settles the claims as set forth in the operative Third Amended Complaint¹, is in all respects fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the Class Members, and it is preliminarily approved. Except as expressly set forth herein, the Parties shall effectuate the Settlement Agreement according to its terms. The Settlement Agreement, and every term and provision thereof, shall be deemed incorporated herein as if explicitly set forth and shall have full force of an Order of this Court;
- 3. The Court finds that the notice plan set forth in the Settlement Agreement constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to the Class Members of the pendency of the Action, the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Final Approval Hearing, and satisfies the requirements of California law and federal due process law;
- 4. The proposed class is provisionally certified under Civ. Code § 382, with Class Members defined as:

¹ Which was filed unsigned on July 8, 2021 (ROA #105) and signature for which was file through the Notice of Errata submitted by Plaintiff on October 5, 2021 (ROA #124).

26

Any and all current and former hourly-paid or non-exempt employees who for worked for Defendant FMS Management within the State of California at any time from January 1, 2017 to the Preliminary Approval Date. ("Class Period").

- 5. Plaintiff Martina E. Gonazlez is appointed as Class Representative;
- 6. Zorik Mooradian and Haik Hacopian of Mooradian Law, APC are appointed as Class Counsel for the class;
- 7. CPT Group, Inc., is appointed as the third-party administrator ("Settlement Administrator");
- 8. The proposed settlement of Plaintiff's Private Attorneys General Act (the "PAGA") claims is preliminarily approved;
- 9. The proposed Class Notice, Request for Exclusion Form, and Objection Form ("Notice Documents") attached as Exhibits 1-3 to the Settlement Agreement, are approved and shall be disseminated according to the notice plan described in the Settlement Agreement and substantially in the forms submitted;
- 10. In conformity with the Notice Documents, Class Members wishing to be excluded from the class must submit a written request for exclusion requesting exclusion from the Settlement on or before the expiration of the opt out period sixty (60) days after the date that the Class Notice is mailed; a written request for exclusion may be satisfied by a Class Member's completion and submission of a Request for Exclusion Form or any other writing complying with the Notice Documents that reflects an exclusion request;
- opt out of the Settlement may object to the settlement, either personally or through an attorney, by providing written notice that the Class Member is objecting to the Settlement Administrator, which written notice must be postmarked no later than sixty (60) days after the date that the Class Notice is mailed; a written objection may be satisfied by a Class Member's completion and submission of an Objection Form or any other writing complying with the Notice Documents that reflects an objection;
- 12. A Final Approval Hearing will be held on <u>4-15-22</u> at <u>9:30a</u> m to determine if the proposed settlement should be granted final approval. The Court will hear

PROPOSED ORDER - 4

26